

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/760,138 01/13/2001 David Steed 9469.4 3371 **EXAMINER** 21999 07/15/2004 KIRTON AND MCCONKIE **BOCURE, TESFALDET** 1800 EAGLE GATE TOWER PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT **60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE** PO BOX 45120 2631 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84145-0120 DATE MAILED: 07/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	09/760,138	STEED ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tesfaldet Bocure	2631	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reg - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te. cause the application to become Al	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. JANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13.	January 200 <u>1</u> .		
,	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under			
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application Papers	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin		h Mara Francisco	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			\
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	nts have been received. nts have been received in A ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	∧ □ 1-1-1-1	(DTO 442)	
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Dotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of I 6) Other:	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) —·	

Application/Control Number: 09/760,138

Art Unit: 2631

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The front of the file shows that applicant submitted an Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) on June 10, 2001, however the IDS and PTO form 1449 are not in the file. Examiner is kindly requesting applicant to resubmit the IDS in response to this action.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the claimed "modulator/encoder, broadcasting, detecting, tracking and decoding in claim 1 and encoding in claim 2" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement-drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/760,138

Art Unit: 2631

per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the examiner does not accept the changes, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The disclosure does not explain how the modulation and encoding is performed. How did the present invention come to the sensitivity values, -110 to 107db with respect to the transmitted and received signal? Other than the disclosed subject matter in pages 8-9 with respect to figure 3 as being the system of the present invention, for transmitting and receiving, there is no detail as to how the claimed subject matter in claims 1 and 2 are performed. The same is true with figure 2. How would the frequency-hopping broadcaster use the 180° shifted differentially encoded signal? How would the received signal be tracked and decoded as claimed?

Application/Control Number: 09/760,138 Page 4

Art Unit: 2631

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yokev et al (US patent number 5,499,266).

Yokev et al (hereinafter) teaches a transmission system having a transmitter (see figures 7-13) and receiver (fig.19) comprising:

Wherein the transmitter encodes the signal to be transmitted by shifting 180 degrees to differentiate between the zeros and ones (to mention few, see for example col. 11, lines 10-25); frequency hopping the encoded signal having an address (claimed ID) as shown (figures 6) to be transmitted to the receiving end; and at the receiving end (fig.19), decode the received signal as in claim 2.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/760,138

Art Unit: 2631

the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

9. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yokev et al. (US patent number 5,499,266).

Yokev et al (hereinafter) teaches a transmission system having a transmitter (see figures 7-13) and receiver (fig.19) comprising:

Wherein the transmitter encodes the signal to be transmitted by shifting 180 degrees to differentiate between the zeros and ones (to mention few, see for example col. 11, lines 10-25); frequency hopping the encoded signal having an address (claimed ID) as shown (figures 6) to be transmitted to the receiving end; and at the receiving end (fig.19), tracks the phase or frequency drift of the received signal (claimed signal and noise) and decode the received signal thereafter as in claim 1.

What Yokev fails to teach is the receiver having a sensitivity value between –110 and – 107 dBm. However, such a sensitivity value as disclosed (see current application's disclosure in page 9) does not show any criticality and therefore no patentable weight is given.

Art Unit: 2631

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of an ordinary skill in the art to design the system of Yokev to have sensitivity of any desired dBm at the time the invention was made.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US patent numbers 5,097,485 and 5,822,362 issued to O'Connor et al. and Friedman respectively disclose a system for a transmission of differentially phase shifted frequency hopped signal.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tesfaldet Bocure whose telephone number is (703) 305-4735. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur (7:30a-5:00p) & Mon.-Fri (7:30a-5:00p).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mohammad H Ghayour can be reached on (703) 306-3034. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/760,138

Art Unit: 2631

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

T.Bocure

Tesfaldet Becure Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2631